



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/315,822	05/21/1999	SCOTT N. CHRISTENSEN	24122-403	6988	
909	7590 01/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Y WINTHROP SHAW	JANVIER	JANVIER, JEAN D		
P.O. BOX 10	500				
MCLEAN, 1	VA 22102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3622		

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/315,822	CHRISTENSEN, SCOTT N.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jean Janvier	3622		

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jean Janvier	3622					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
<ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI</li> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follows:</li> </ul>	the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aba					
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b). WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 September 2005. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replance.)	)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	haranta da da da de esta de es						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16,19 and 24-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
		Jean Janvier					
		Examiner Art Unit: 3622					

Continuation of 13. Other: The claims are still rejected, under a similar rationale, as featured in the last Office Action. Indeed, the amendments to claims 1, 11 and 16 simply replace "a consumer" with "the consumer".

JEAN D. JANVIER PRIMARY EXAMINES